### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CV12-08351DW (VLAX)

### ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE

Plaintiffs,

15 V

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA

Defendant.

- 1. Unlawful Employment
  Discrimination Based on Sex (Title
  VII)
- 2. Unlawful Employment
  Discrimination Based on Race (Title
  VII)
- 3. Unlawful Employment
  Discrimination Based on Sex (Cal.
  Govt. Code 12940)
- 4. Unlawful Employment
  Discrimination Based on Race (Cal.
  Govt. Code 12940)
- 5. Hostile Work Environment-Sexual Harassment (Title VII)
- 6. Hostile Work Environment-Sexual Harassment (Cal Govt. Code 12940)
- 7. Aiding and Abetting Sexual Harassment, Sex and Race Discrimination (Cal. Govt. Code 12940)
- 8. Failure to Prevent Discrimination and Harassment (Gov. Code §12940)
- 9. Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

2728

COMPLAINT

## 2

### 3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

#### **NATURE OF ACTION** I.

1. This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the California Fair Employment and housing Act, California Government Code §§12940 et seq. (the "FEHA"), the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq., and other California statutory and common law theories to correct unlawful employment practices relating to sex, race, and disabilities and to provide appropriate relief to ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE who were adversely affected by such practices. This action is brought by current and former employees: ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE against defendants ALL STATE CLEANING ("ALL STATE"), CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. ("CLASSEN"), and individual defendant CARLOS MUNGUIA ("MUNGUIA")(collectively with corporate defendants "DEFENDANTS"). PLAINTIFF ANGEL URIBE (aka Paola Uribe) and GUSTAVO URIBE was subjected to a race discrimination based upon their race, ANGEL URIBE was subjected to sexual harassment by CARLOS MUNGUIA based upon her sex during her employment with ALL STATE'S predecessor company CLASSEN. As described below, ALL STATE is liable under the principles of successor liability. GUSTAVO URIBE was subjected to discrimination based upon his disabilities by ALL STATE'S predecessor company CLASSEN. As described below, ALL STATE is liable under the principles of successor liability.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

PLAINTIFFS claims arise under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 2. U.S.C. §§2000(e), et seq ("Title VII") and 29. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337, and 1343(a)(4), the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. 12101, and other provisions of Federal law. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over PLAINTIFF'S claims brought under the California FEHA and other state statutory and common law remedies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367. Injunctive and declaratory relief, damages and other appropriate legal and equitable relief are sought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e(f) and (g), as amended, and applicable provisions of California State law including, but not limited to FEHA. Jurisdiction in

3

4 5

## 7

8

6

9 10

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 this court is proper because the employment practices that PLAINTIFFS allege to be unlawful were and now being committed in California within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

#### III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff ANGEL URIBE ("ANGEL") is a California resident. At all relevant times herein, ANGEL has been employed by Classen Enterprises as a non-exempt employee.
- Plaintiff GUSTAVO URIBE ("GUSTAVO") is a California resident. At all relevant times herein, GUSTAVO has been employed by Classen Enterprises as a non-exempt employee.
- 5. On information and belief, DEFENDANT ALL STATE CLEANING, L.P. ("ALL STATE") is large facility services contractors with in excess of 100 employees and a Limited Partnership. On information and belief, ALL STATE is a successor in interest to Classen Enterprises Corporation. ALL STATE's website (www.venturawaterdamage.com) which goes under the name "ServiceMaster Clean by T.A. Russell" provides a "about us" which states:

The Ventura location of ServiceMaster Clean has been in business locally since 1985. However, as of July 1, 2008, it is under the new ownership of the T.A. Russell franchise. Owners Todd Russell, Bruce Mason and Ben Russell own three additional franchises in Los Angeles, CA, Las Cruces, NM, and Albuquerque, NM. They have developed their businesses into the top Disaster Restoration businesses in the country.

ALL STATE workers such as PLAINTIFFS do all of the above-described janitorial work, and from which all of the profits obtained by ALL STATE for janitorial services are derived. On information and belief, Defendant ALL STATE is a successor company to Classen Enterprises because, among other reasons, most of the original employees of Classen Enterprises were hired by ALL STATE, most of the customer accounts were taken over, the Franchise agreement was assumed or taken over with the Corporate ServiceMaster corporation, Classen Enterprises have declared bankruptcy calling into question the ability of the predecessor to provide adequate relief directly, and Classen and ALL STATE were on notice of the claims in this suit prior to Classen's sale to ALL STATE because of the EEOC filings in this case. Furthermore, on information and

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

belief the business was sold by Classen Enterprises only after numerous employee lawsuits and complaints were filed including a wage and hour class action case and the underlying EEOC charge in this case and was sold for less than full value. The owner of Classen Enterprises immediately thereafter declared bankruptcy in an attempt to evade these clear liabilities. Classen Enterprises has since been dissolved according to the California Business Portal. The corporate transaction and subsequent bankrupty appears intended to carry out a fraud by divesting employees of the opportunity to obtain wages owed and recovery for other damages to employees caused by Classen Enterprises. ALL STATE is listed on the California State Business Portal as being located on 2735 Teepee Drive Drive Suit E, Stockton California 95206. The Agent for Service of Process is listed as Blain F. Bibb, 2735 Teeppee Drive Suite E, Stockton CA 95205. On information and belief, the CEO of ALL STATE is Blain Bibb who lists on his Linkedin Summary:

> After graduating college in 1995 with a degree in finance and practicing real estate appraisal as a licensed appraiser in California, I took an unexpected career direction in the cleaning industry. I joined a fledgling Service Master franchise in Merced California, then went to work for the franchisor and spent 10 years in various roles. With the ultimate goal of owning my own ServiceMaster business, I made the move in 2007 purchasing two commercial cleaning franchises in Stockton, CA and San Diego, CA. In 2012, we expanded to Ventura/Oxnard, CA.

- On information and belief, Defendant CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. is, or was, a 6. large facility services contractor with in excess of 100 employees. The liabilities of CLASSEN ENTERPRISES have become the liabilities of ALL STATE CLEANING L.P. by means of successor liability as described above. Classen Enterprises is listed on the California Business Portal as "Dissolved" but has a business address of 2264 Goodyear Ave, Suite A, Ventura CA 93003. The agent for service of process is Ron Classen and the agent's listed address is 4689 Refugio Court, Camarillo CA 93012.
- 7. Except as noted above, at all relevant times, CLASSEN and SERVICEMASTER has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b) (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e(b), (g) and (h).
- 8. On information and belief, at all relevant times, Classen and SERVICEMASTER have continuously been doing business in the State of California, and have had at least 15

employees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 9. Defendant CARLOS MUNGUIA ("MUNGUIA") is a resident of California. MUNGUIA sexually harassed ANGEL URIBE by physically attacking her.
- 10. PLAINTIFFS are ignorant of the true names and capacities of each Defendant sued as DOES 1 through 10, inclusively, and therefore Plaintiff sues said Defendants by fictitious names. PLAINTIFFS reserve the right to amend the complaint to name each DOE defendant individually or collectively as they become known. PLAINTIFFS allege that each DOE defendant was in some manner responsible for the acts and omissions alleged herein and PLAINTIFFS will amend the complaint to allege such responsibility when the same shall have been ascertained by PLAINTIFFS.
- All of the acts and failures to act alleged herein were duly performed by and 11. attributable to all DOES, each acting as a successor, agent, alter ego, employee, indirect employer, joint employer, integrated enterprise and/or or under the direction and control of the other DOES and named Defendants, except as specifically alleged otherwise. Said acts and failures to act were within the scope of such agency and/or employment, and each DOE participated in, approved and/or ratified the unlawful acts and omissions by the other DOES and Defendants complained of herein. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act by a DOE or DOES, such allegations and reference shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each DOE and named Defendants acting individually, jointly, and/or severally.

### IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 12. Prior to the institution of this lawsuit, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE employee filed charges with the EEOC alleging violations of Title VII and the by DEFENDANTS. The Commission has issued a right to sue permitting Plaintiffs to sue DEFENDANTS. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled and this suit has been filed timely.
  - 13. DEFENDANTS have engaged in unlawful employment practices and in a pattern of

27

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28 such practices in violation of Section 703 and 707 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2, by subjecting ANGEL URIBE to a sexually harassing hostile environment. The sexually harassing behavior was perpetrated by supervisor CARLOS MUNGUIA and included, but was not limited to the following: unwelcome touching, sexual assault, explicit sexual commentary. Supervisor CARLOS MUNGUIA repeatedly sexually assaulted ANGEL URIBE, made sexually assertive comments to ANGEL URIBE, groped her private parts with his hands, and finally attempted to physically force her to have sex.

- 14. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive ANGEL URIBE of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee, because of her sex.
- The unlawful employment practices complained of above were and are willful 15. within the meaning of §706(f)(l) and (3), 706(g)(l), and 707 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C., §2000e-5(f)(l) and (3), (g)(l), and 2000e-6.
- 16. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were and are intentional and provides ANGEL URIBE a claim of emotional injury for damages ordinarily associated with a conventional claim for pain and suffering.
- 17. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were and are done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of ANGEL URIBE.
- 18. Defendant also paid employees unequally based upon their race. In particular, Hispanic employees were paid substantially less than equally qualified and situated Anglo employees. Both ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE were affected by this practice and harmed monetarily and in otherways and provides PLAINTIFFS with a claim of emotional injury for damages ordinarily associated with a conventional claim for pain and suffering.. These practices were willful and unlawful under Title VII and under the FEHA.
- Defendant also failed to provide a reasonable accommodation to Gustavo URIBE 19. for his documented disability which included an inability to work with Bleach. Defendants' refusal to provide the reasonable accommodation was knowing and purposeful and violated the Americans with Disabilities Act as described herein.

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

20. All references to emotion distress or other claims for harm to the emotional state of either Plaintiff refers to the emotional distress that a typical or normal employee under the circumstances at issue in the complaint would endure and therefore no actual issue of the mental state of ANGEL URIBE or GUSTAVO URIBE is at issue or alleged in this complaint. PLAINTIFFS' claim of emotional injury is for damages ordinarily associated with a conventional claim for pain and suffering.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX (TITLE VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000E-2(A)(L))

- PLAINTIFF ANGEL URIBE hereby incorporates by reference each and every 21. allegation contained in the above paragraphs of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Defendants unlawfully discriminated against ANGEL URIBE based on her sex in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,42 U.S.C. § 2000e-16.
- 23. Defendants treated PLAINTIFF ANGEL URIBE less favorably than similarly situated male employees, subjecting them to discrimination terms and conditions of their employment in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, including, but not limited to degrading comments, criticism of their work for not accepting the sexual advances of CARLOS MUNGUIA, conditioning of work and work privileges upon sexual favors to CARLOS MUNGUIA. Additionally, Defendants subjected ANGEL URIBE to unwelcome sexual advances, unwelcome sexual touching, sexual assault, comments, insults and degrading and humiliating conduct and/or failed to take steps reasonably calculated to end the sexual harassment of and discrimination against ANGEL URIBE as described above.
- Defendants' acts of discrimination against ANGEL URIBE on the basis of sex were 24. wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard of the rights and sensibilities of ANGEL URIBE.
- As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid discrimination based on ANGEL 25. URIBE's sex, ANGEL URIBE has sustained a loss of earnings and other benefits. She also has

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28 suffered physical injuries and emotional distress, and emotional distress manifested by feelings of humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety, nervousness and other symptoms of stress. PLAINTIFFS' claim of emotional injury is for damages ordinarily associated with a conventional claim for pain and suffering.

26. WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE requests relief as hereinafter provided.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT B. **DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE (TITLE VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000E-2(A))**

- 27. PLAINTIFFS ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants unlawfully discriminated against PLAINTIFFS ANGEL URIBE and 28. GUSTAVO based on their race in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.
- 29. Defendants treated ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE less favorably than similarly situated ANGLO employees, subjecting them to discrimination terms and conditions of their employment in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, including, but not limited to paying less to Hispanic employees for the same work conducted by employees with the same relevant qualifications and failing to promote in the same manner as Anglo employees.
- 30. Defendants' acts of discrimination against ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE on the basis of race were wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard of the rights and sensibilities of the ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid discrimination based on ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE's race, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE have sustained a loss of earnings and other benefits.
- WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE request relief as 32. hereinafter provided.

# C. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF: UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(A))

- 33. ANGEL URIBE hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. At all times material hereto, Defendants owed ANGEL URIBE a duty not to discriminate against them in the terms and conditions of their employment on the basis of her sex as mandated by the Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code Section 12940(a).
- 35. In violation of the aforesaid duty, Defendants treated ANGEL URIBE less favorably than similarly situated male employees, subjecting them to discrimination in working conditions, benefits, and in other terms and conditions of their employment including, but not limited to: degrading comments, sexual assault, and criticism of their work for not accepting the sexual advances of supervisor CARLOS MUNGUIA. Additionally, Defendants subjected ANGEL URIBE to unwelcome sexual advances, unwelcome sexual touching, comments, insults and degrading and humiliating conduct and/or failed to take steps reasonably calculated to end the sexual harassment of and discrimination against ANGEL URIBE as described above.
- 36. Defendants' decisions to take the adverse actions against ANGEL URIBE including, but not limited to those described in the previous paragraph, were wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of ANGEL URIBE.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid discrimination based on sex,
  Plaintiffs have sustained harm including emotional stress and the loss of compensation, including
  but not limited to, wages and other benefits that she otherwise would have received.
- 38. Defendants, acting individually and/or by and through their managing agents, officers or directors, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring ANGEL URIBE, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of ANGEL URIBE's rights. Accordingly, ANGEL URIBE is entitled to recover punitive damages from Defendants in an amount according to proof.

- 39. ANGEL URIBE is entitled to recover attorneys' fees under Government Code section 12940, et sea. or any other law providing for recovery of attorneys' fees.
  - 40. WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE requests relief as hereinafter provided.
- D. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(A))
- 41. ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. At all times material hereto, Defendants owed ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE a duty not to discriminate against them in the terms and conditions of their employment on the basis of their race as mandated by the Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code Section 12940(a).
- 43. In violation of the aforesaid duty, Defendants treated ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE less favorably than similarly situated Anglo employees, subjecting them to discrimination in working conditions, benefits, and in other terms and conditions of their employment including, but not limited to: lower pay and fewer promotion opportunities. All race discrimination was conducted by the owners, managers or supervisors of ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE.
- 44. Defendants' decisions to take the adverse actions against ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE including, but not limited to those described in the previous paragraph, were wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid discrimination based on sex,

  Plaintiffs have sustained harm including loss of compensation, including but not limited to, wages
  and other benefits that they otherwise would have received.
- 46. Defendants, acting individually and/or by and through their managing agents, officers or directors, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively,

7

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

with the wrongful intention of injuring ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE's rights. Accordingly, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE are entitled to recover punitive damages from Defendants in an amount according to proof.

- 47. ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE are entitled to recover attorneys' fees under Government Code section 12940, et sea. or any other law providing for recovery of attorneys' fees.
- 48. WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE requests relief as hereinafter provided.

#### E. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT (TITLE VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000E-2(A)(L))

- ANGEL URIBE hereby incorporate by reference each and every allegation stated 49. above as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. This action is brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000 et seq. ANGEL URIBE is a woman and as such is a member of a group protected under TITLE VII from discrimination on the basis of sex.
- 51. Defendants violated ANGEL URIBE's rights under Title VII by subjecting ANGEL URIBE to unwelcome sexual comments and acts and permitting and encouraging a work environment in which ANGEL URIBE was subjected to ridicule, harassment, discrimination and intimidation because of her sex, unwelcome sexual advances, unwelcome sexual touching, comments, insults and degrading and humiliating conduct by supervisor CARLOS MUNGUIA.
- 52. ANGEL URIBE did not welcome the conduct described above and advised Defendants or Defendants knew that such conduct was not welcome.
- 53. Defendants' aforesaid acts of harassment were wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of ANGEL URIBE.
  - 54. Defendants participated in creating and maintaining a hostile work environment and

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

2425

2627

28

failed to investigate, stop, or prevent the incidents of sexual harassment even after Defendants' employees gave notice of such incidents.

- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment based on sex, ANGEL URIBE has sustained injury in the form of emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, physical injury and mental anguish, all to their damage in amounts to be established at trial.
  - 56. The harassment adversely affected the ANGEL URIBE's psychological well-being.
  - 57. The harassment unreasonably interfered with ANGEL URIBE's work performance.
- 58. The harassment to which ANGEL URIBE was subjected to would affect the psychological well-being and unreasonably interfere with the work performance of a reasonable person of ANGEL URIBE's sex.
- 59. Sexual harassment was sufficiently widespread, pervasive, and prevalent at the workplace of Defendants and at all times pertinent hereto to charge Defendants with constructive notice of it.
- 60. Prior to filing a charge with the EEOC, ANGEL URIBE gave Defendants notice of sexual harassment.
  - 61. Defendants failed to investigate ANGEL URIBE's complaints.
  - 62. Defendants failed to take adequate remedial action.
- 63. Following ANGEL URIBE's complaints and the response of Defendants the harassment of ANGEL URIBE continued.
- 64. Defendants had the power to alter or affect the terms and conditions of the employment of ANGEL URIBE by firing, transferring, altering their work schedule and/or evaluating their work performance and by discharging CARLOS MUNGUIA.
- 65. Defendants had the power to alter or affect the terms and conditions of the employment ANGEL URIBE by firing, transferring, altering their work schedules and/or evaluating their work performance.
- 66. Supervisor and Defendant CARLOS MUNGUIA was acting within his scope of employment.
  - 67. Defendants acted with malice and with reckless indifference to PLAINTIFF civil

rights and emotional and physical well-being.

68. WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE requests relief as hereinafter provided.

# F. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE § 12940)

- 69. ANGEL URIBE hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 70. ANGEL URIBE is a woman. Defendants subjected them to unwelcome sexual advances, unwelcome sexual touching, comments, insults and degrading and humiliating conduct as described above and/or failed to take steps reasonably calculated to end the sexual harassment of ANGEL URIBE.
- 71. Defendants' aforesaid unwelcome sexual comments and acts were so severe or pervasive that they created a hostile work environment and adversely affected the terms and conditions of ANGEL URIBE's employment based on their sex, in violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code §12940(j).
- 72. Defendants' aforesaid acts of harassment were wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of ANGEL URIBE.
- 73. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment based on sex, ANGEL URIBE's has sustained injuries in the form of emotional stress of the type described in paragraph above, and the loss of compensation, including but not limited to wages and other benefits that she otherwise would have received.
- 74. Defendants, acting individually and/or by and through their managing agents, officers or directors, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring ANGEL URIBE, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of ANGEL URIBE's rights. Accordingly, ANGEL URIBE is entitled to recover punitive damages from Defendants in an amount according to proof.
  - 75. ANGEL URIBE are entitled to recover attorneys' fees under Government Code

section 12940, et seq. or any other law providing for recovery of attorneys' fees.

76. WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE requests relief as hereinafter provided.

# G. SEVENTH CLAIM FOR AIDING AND ABETTING SEXUAL HARASSMENT, SEX AND RACE DISCRIMINATION (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(I))

- 77. ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 78. In perpetrating the above-described actions and omissions, Defendants as employers, their agents, servants and/or employees, engaged in a pattern and practice of unlawful aiding and abetting of harassment, discrimination, and retaliation, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code §12940.
- 79. Defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees, attempted to and did in fact, aid, abet, incite, compel and/or coerce their agents, servants and/or employees to engage in unlawful sexual harassment, sex and/or gender discrimination, and race discrimination against the PLAINTIFF, as alleged above.
- 80. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment and discrimination based on sex and race, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE have sustained injury.
- 81. Defendants' acts were wanton, willful and intentional, and were committed with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE.
- 82. WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE request relief as hereinafter provided.

# H. EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF FAILURE TO PREVENT DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT, (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §12940)

- 83. ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE hereby incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained above.
- 84. Defendants and/or their agents and/or employees, failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent the harassment and discrimination in employment described herein from occurring. Defendants knew or should have known of the sex and race discrimination against

8

9

6

11

13

24

26

- The response of Defendants, and/or that of their agents and employees, to the 85. discrimination and harassment described herein was so inadequate as to establish a deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, the alleged offensive practices, and an affirmative causal link existed between Defendants' inaction and the injuries suffered by ANGEL URIBE and **GUSTAVO URIBE**
- 86. By failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination, and by failing to properly investigate and remedy the discrimination that occurred, Defendants committed unlawful employment practices as described in and prohibited by California Government Code §12940(k).
- 87. In engaging in the aforementioned conduct, Defendants, and each of them, aided, abetted, incited, compelled, and/or coerced unlawful employment practices in violation of the announced policy of this State against such practices.
- 88. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of said Defendants, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE have lost and will continue to lose income and benefits in an amount to be proven at the time of trial. ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE claim such amount as damages together with pre-judgment interest pursuant to Civil Code section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for pre-judgment interest.
- 89. As a result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE claim general damages for emotional distress of the type described in paragraph above in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 90. Defendants, acting individually and/or by and through their managing agents. officers or directors, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE's rights. Accordingly, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE are entitled to

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

recover punitive damages from Defendants in an amount according to proof.

- ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE are entitled to recover attorneys' fees 91. under California Government Code §12940, el seq., or any other law providing for recovery of attorneys' fees.
- WHEREFORE, ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE request relief as 92. hereinafter provided.

### NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH **DISABILITIES ACT (42 USC 12101 ET SEQ)**

- ANGEL URIBE and GUSTAVO URIBE hereby incorporate by reference each and 93. every allegation contained above.
- GUSTAVO URIBE requested from Defendants reasonable accommodation for his 94. disabilities which included an inability to work around bleach.
  - 95. Defendants refused to accommodate his request and forced him to
- 96. On information and belief, Defendants are subject to the requirements of the ADA as defined by 42 USC §12101 because they meet the standards for an "Employer" because they continuously had more than 25 employees for more than 20 calendar weeks during the time period in question.

#### V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFFS respectfully pray that this Court:

- 1. Award PLAINTIFFS compensatory damages including lost wages and benefits according to proof;
- 2. Award PLAINTIFFS emotional distress damages in an amount that a typical or normal person would sustain for the damages caused by Defendants' actions;
  - 3. Award PLAINTIFFS civil penalties as provided by statute;
  - 4. Award PLAINTIFFS punitive and exemplary damages according to proof;
- 5. Award PLAINTIFF'S attorney fees and costs, including expert witness fees; Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(k) and California law.

6

9

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28

- Award PLAINTIFFS prejudgment interest on all amounts claimed; and award 6. PLAINTIFFS any other relief that this Court may deem proper
- All damages which individual PLAINTIFFS have sustained as a result of 7. Defendants' conduct.
- A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants and their directors, 8. officers, owners, agents, successors, employees and representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, requiring them to:
  - Desist from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, customs and usages set forth herein;
  - Adopt a lawful policy for preventing and remedying unlawful harassment and discrimination that creates an effective process for the investigation and resolution of harassment and discrimination complaints and forbids unlawful retaliation against complainants; and
  - Create a monitoring and reporting system to ensure that injunctive relief is fully implemented:
- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this complaint are 9. unlawful and violate Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 5 2000(e), el seq. and California Law.
- 10. Costs of litigation incurred by PLAINTIFFS, including reasonable attorneys' fees, to the extent allowable by law;
- Pre- and post-judgment interest, as provided by law, in amounts according to proof; 11. and
- 12. Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.
- 13. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for women, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- 14. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiffs hereby demand trial of their claims by jury to the extent authorized by law.
3	
4	Dated: September 26, 2012
5	LAW OFFICES OF MALLISON & MARTINEZ
6	By:
7	Stan S. Mallison
8	Hector R. Martinez Marco A. Palau Joseph D. Sutton
9	Joseph D. Sutton
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 18 - COMPLAINT

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Dean D. Pregerson and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Paul Abrams.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 8351 DDP (PLAx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

1	All discovery related motion	s sho	uld be noticed on the calendar	of th	ne Magistrate Judge
=	========	==:	==========		· <del></del>
			NOTICE TO COUNSEL		
A co	opy of this notice must be served v t, a copy of this notice must be ser	with th	e summons and complaint on all de n all plaintiffs).	fenda	nts (if a removal action is
Sub	sequent documents must be filed	at the	following location:		
Ų	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Ц	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	U	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501
Feilu	re to file at the proper location will res	ult in yo	our documents being returned to you.		





Dated: September 27, 2012  [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	By:  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)  1154  agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States	By:  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)  1154
Dated: September 27, 2012	By:
Dated: September 27, 2012	JULIE PRADO  By:
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
	ncc///0014
your answer or motion with the court.	oner contained in the complaint. I du also must file
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ma 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730, Oakland, California, 94 judgment by default will be entered against you for the results.	omplaint amended complaint  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of A. Palau, Mallison & Martinez, whose address is  for a figure of the federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  of the Federal Rules of Civil Proce
•	s on you (not counting the day you received it), you
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	
DEFENDANT(S).	
ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA	SUMMONS
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CY12: 18351 DDP(P.
	CASE NUMBER
Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uribe	T OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uriba	





510-832-9999	
	DISTRICT COURT TO F CALIFORNIA
Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uribe	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12-08351 DDPIPLA
ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA  DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(3).	
TO: DEFENDANT(S):  A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer arco A. Palau, Mallison & Martinez, whose address is 4612. If you fail to do so,
your answer or motion with the court.	renet demanded in the complaint. Tot also must the
Dated: September 27, 2012	By:  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)
	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].  CV-01A (10/11 SUM	MONS
•	





1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730 Oakland, California 94612 510-832-9999						
•	DISTRICT COURT TO F CALIFORNIA					
Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uriba	CASE NUMBER					
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12-18351 DDP(PM					
ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA	SUMMONS					
DEFENDANT(S).						
TO: DEFENDANT(S):  A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ma 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730, Oakland, California, 94 judgment by default will be entered against you for the results.	rco A. Palau, Mallison & Martinez, whose address is					
your answer or motion with the court.  Dated: September 27, 2012	Clerk, U.S. District Court  JULIE PRADO  By:  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)  1154					
(Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed					
CV-01A (10/1) SUMM	DNS					



310-832-9999	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uribe	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CY12-08351 DOPIDLA
ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ma 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730, Oakland, California, 94 judgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer rco A. Palau, Mallison & Martinez, whose address is 612
Dated: September 27, 2012	By:  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

CV-01A (10/11

60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

SUMMONS





510-832-9999	
	S DISTRICT COURT
Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uribe	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(: V.	CY12:08351 DRIM
ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S	).
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached a counterclaim a cross-claim or a motion under Rule or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730, Oakland, California,	nons on you (not counting the day you received it), you  complaint amended complaint  12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer  Marco A. Palau, Mallison & Martinez, whose address is  94612 If you fail to do so,  e relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file
Dated: September 27, 2012	Clerk, U.S. District Court  JULIE PRADO  By:  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)  1154
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States of a United States by Rule 12(a)(3)].	ntes agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (10/11 SUI	MMONS



must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of complaint a

your answer or motion with the court.



Marco A. Palau (SBN 242340) Mallison & Martinez Attorneys At Law 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730 Oakland, California 94612 510-832-9999

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
Ange	el Uribe and Gustavo Uribe	CASE NUMBER						
	PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12-08351 DDPIPLAX						
ALL STATE CLEANING, CLASSEN ENTERPRISES INC. and CARLOS MUNGUIA		SUMMONS						
	DEFENDANT(S).							
TO:	DEFENDANT(S):							
	A lawsuit has been filed against you.							

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you

□ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Marco A. Palau, Mallison & Martinez, whose address is 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730, Oakland, California, 94612. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file

\_\_ amended complaint

	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: September 27, 2012	Ву:
	Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself (1) Angel Uribe and Gustavo Uribe				PENDANTS All State Cleaning, C	lasson E	Salespri	ises, Inc. and Carle	os Munguia		
(b) Atterneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are repress yourself, provide aame.)  Mallison & Martinez 1939 Harrison St., Suite 730 Oakland, CA 94612 510.832.9999			spresenting Att	omeys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	BN (Place an X in one box only.)	1	III. CITIZENSHI (Place an X in	P OF PRINCIPAL one box for plaintiff	PARTII	ES - F	or Diversity Cases fendant.)	Only		
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff			Citizen of This State	PTF DEF PTF zen of This State			DEF 4			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defender	of Parties in Item III)	enship C	Citizen of Another State   2			<b>0</b> 5				
		ا	Citizen or Subject o	f a Foreign Country	<b>Q3</b>	<u> </u>	Foreign Nation		<b>06</b>	<b>□</b> 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or of 1 Original 2 Remove Proceeding State C	ed from 3 Remanded from		nstated or CIS To pened	unsferred from anoth	her distri	ict (spc	Distr	rict Jud	peal to l ge from gistrate	1
V. REQUESTED IN COMPI	AINT: JURY DEMAND:	/cs D N	No (Check 'Yes' on	ly if demanded in co	mplaint.	.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.	C.P. 23: O Yes 0 No		□ мо	NEY DEMANDED	IN CO	MPLA	INT: S			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CI	to the U.S. Civil Statute under whi		o filing and write a	brief statement of ca	usc. Do	not ci	te jurisdictional st	itutes unless div	ersity.)	)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (PIA			والمتداقية ويساطسان							
OTHER STATULES	GONDAGE	N	10:18	toris	20.7FE	SERVE OF	RISONER + 1	120	ស្រ្	
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	☐ 110 Insurance		SONAL INJURY	PERSONAL	١١. نعث		ELLIONS.	□ 710 Fair La		
□ 410 Antitrust	☐ 120 Marine	D 310	Airplano Airplane Product	PROPERTY		3510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act	14	
☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Lizbility	370 Other Fraud			Habeas Corpus	720 Labor/ Relatio		
Rates/etc.	□ 150 Recovery of		Assault, Libel &	☐ 380 Other Perso	nal C	J 530	General	☐ 730 Labor/		
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &		Slander Fed. Employers'	Property Da			Death Penalty	Report		_
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt	Enforcement of Judgment		Liability	☐ 385 Property Da Product Lia			Mangamus/ Other	Disclos ☐ 740 Railwa	sure Ac	-
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act		Marine	BANKRUPTE			Civil Rights	790 Other I		. Au
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted		Marine Product Lisbility	☐ 422 Appeal 28 t			Prison Condition	Litigati		
1 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.		Motor Vehicle	158 □ 423 Withdrawal			REHETURBA	□ 791 Empl. I		<b>:.</b>
☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	USC 157			Agriculture	Securit SPROPERT		TIS
Exchange	Overpayment of		Product Liability Other Personal	- ECIVILIRIGHT	\$			© 820 Copyri		CON 1-1-1
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits		lnjury	1241 Voting				☐ 830 Patent		
USC 3410  B90 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		Personal Injury-	M 442 Employmen  ☐ 443 Housing/Ac			Drug Related Scizure of	☐ 840 Traden		
☐ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product		Med Malpractice Personal Injury-	mmodations			Property 21 USC			121:
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability	i	Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfkre			881	□ 862 Black I		
Act	☐ 196 Franchise			☐ 445 American w Disabilities			Liquer Laws	□ 863 DIWC		7
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation		Injury Product Liability	Employmen			R.R. & Truck Airline Regs	(405(g) □ 864 SSID 1		/I
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 220 Foreclosure	1ML	MIGRATION	☐ 446 American w				□ 865 RSI (40	)5(g))	
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462 I	Naturalization Application	Disabilities			Safety /Health	HBORRAL		
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability		Application Habeas Corpus-	Other  13 440 Other Civil	ľ	3 690	Caler	□ 870 Taxes ( or Defe		Tiuaca
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	☐ 290 All Other Real Property		Alien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	Rights				□ 871 IRS-Th USC 76	ird Part	ty 26
	<u> </u>		0 0 0 m	0075	4			<u> </u>		
		-	V12-	U022	4					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:							<del></del> ·			
AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.										

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha	as this action been pr	eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? 6 No □ Yes				
The state of the s	ve any cases been pro	viously filed in this court tha	t are related to the present case? ETNo Yes				
Civil cases are deemed related if a (Check all boxes that apply)   B.	Arise from the same Call for determinating For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial rould entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the			foccessary.)  fother than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.				
Check here if the government,	its agencies or emple	oyecs is a named plaintiff. If	this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Ventura							
(b) List the County in this District  Check here if the government,	; California County o	outside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Poreign Country				
Ventura	A 442						
(c) List the County in this District Note: In land condemnation	; California County cases, use the locati	outside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Ventura							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bern Note: In land condemnation cases.	erdino, Riverside, V	enturo, Santa Barbara, or set tract of land involved	ian Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY		- /v	Date 9-26-12-				
Notice to Counsel/Parties:	The CV-71 (JS-44) C	ved by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to	Social Security Cases	:					
Nature of Sult Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended.  Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S	e or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security .C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplements Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivers benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))							